

*Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board*  
*Max Foster, Executive Director*

**Kansas Administrative Regulations**  
**Economic Impact Statement**  
**For the Kansas Division of the Budget**

K.A.R. 102-1-13; K.A.R. 102-2-3; K.A.R. 102-3-2  
K.A.R. 102-4-2; K.A.R. 102-5-2; K.A.R. 102-7-2

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt along with the following to the Division of the Budget.

**I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).**

The purpose of these regulations is to lower various licensing fees charged by this agency.

**II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)**

These regulation changes are not mandated by the federal government.

**III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:**

**A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;**

Business activities and growth will not be negatively impacted upon the adoption of these regulations. Any Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board (BSRB) licensee that is a business owner will experience a reduction in operational expenses because of their license fee being lowered.

**B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;**

Upon implementation of these regulations the economic impact of compliance costs to specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals and local governments will be zero.

**C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;**

Current BSRB licensees - who also are business owners - would be directly affected by these regulations.

**APPROVED**

OCT 18 2018

**D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;**

Since there aren't any costs associated with the implementation of these regulations the benefits greatly outweigh the costs.

**E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;**

As identified in previous answers to questions there is no additional cost to those impacted by these regulations.

**F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.**

\$0. Since BSRB staff members regularly process applications and license renewals as a function of routine daily operation in the agency, there are no costs to implement the changes brought about by these regulations.

**An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.**

\$0. Upon implementation of these regulations the economic impact of compliance costs to specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals and local governments will be zero.

**Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?**

YES  NO

**Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.**

These regulations will lower licensing fees. There is no cost associated with implementation of the parameters of the regulations.

**Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.**

YES  NO

**APPROVED**

**OCT 18 2018**

- G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.**

These regulations have no fiscal impact on cities, counties or school districts.

- H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).**

All affected licensees were notified of the proposed fee decrease through information disseminated by the BSRB at advisory committee meetings for the professions regulated.

- I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).**

These are not environmental rules and regulations.

**APPROVED**

**OCT 18 2018**