

Sam Brownback
Governor

Max L. Foster, Jr.
Executive Director



700 SW Harrison St., Ste. 420
Topeka, KS 66603-3929
(785) 296-3240
Fax (785) 296-3112
www.ksbsrb.ks.gov

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR
PROFESSIONAL COUNSELOR REGULATIONS
AND
MARRIAGE AND FAMILY REGULATIONS
K.A.R. 102-3-7b and K.A.R. 102-5-7b**

I. Brief Description of the Proposed Regulations and what is intended to be accomplished by their adoption.

K.A.R. 102-3-7b Implements the approved 2016 statute (K.S.A. 65-5818) that identifies the training requirements that must be met for an individual to receive the designation of board-approved clinical supervisor associated with the licensure of Professional Counselors.

K.A.R. 102-5-7b Implements the approved 2016 statute (K.S.A. 65-6414) that identifies the training requirements that must be met for an individual to receive the designation of board-approved clinical supervisor associated with the licensure of Marriage and Family Therapists.

II. Whether or Not the Proposed Regulations are Mandated by Federal Law

- A. Federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program does not mandate the proposed regulations.
- B. There is no applicable federal law related to the proposed regulatory amendments.

III. Anticipated Economic Impact of the Proposed Regulations

- A. There will be no fiscal impact to the Kansas Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board because of these changes. There will be a fiscal impact for any clinical licensee of the Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board who desires to meet the requirements of this regulation.
- B. There would be no economic impact to other governmental agencies from the proposed regulation change.

- C. There would be no economic impact to private citizens of Kansas from the proposed regulation changes.

IV. *Less Costly or Less Intrusive Methods Considered and Rejected.*

- A. We are not aware of any less costly or less intrusive method of change to make the proposed changes.
- B. The proposed regulation changes have no economic impact related to environmental rules and regulations.
- C. The proposed regulation changes have no effect on revenues of cities, counties, or school districts.
- D. The proposed regulation changes have no effect on responsibilities of cities, counties, or school districts that will increase their expenditures or fiscal liability.